

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

BETWEEN:

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE
OF ARRANGEMENT OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS
INTERNATIONAL INC.

Applicant

**MOTION RECORD OF THE MONITOR, ERNST & YOUNG INC.,
(Returnable June 12, 2009)**

June 10, 2009

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

Barristers and Solicitors
Box 25, Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street, Suite 2800
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Katherine McEachern LSUC#38345M

Tel: 416-863-2566

Fax: 416-863-2653

Lawyers for the Monitor,
Ernst & Young Inc.

INDEX

Court File No. 08-CL-7878

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

B E T W E E N:

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE
OF ARRANGEMENT OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS
INTERNATIONAL INC.

Applicant

I N D E X

TAB NO.

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | Notice of Motion, returnable June 12, 2009 |
| 2 | Eighth Report of the Monitor, dated June 10, 2009 |
| 3. | Draft Order |

TAB 1

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C.
1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OF ARRANGEMENT OF
UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS INTERNATIONAL INC.**

Applicant

NOTICE OF MOTION

Ernst & Young Inc., (E&Y), in its capacity as Monitor of Universal Settlements International Inc. ("USI"), will make a motion to the Court on Friday, the 12th day of June, 2009 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon after that time as the motion can be heard at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

PROPOSED METHOD OF HEARING: The motion will be heard orally.

THE MOTION IS FOR:

- (a) an Order abridging time for service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record;
- (b) an order providing advice and directions to the Monitor regarding the appropriate means to tabulate the number and value of votes relating to interests that are held jointly by two or more Creditors; and
- (c) such further and other relief as counsel may request and this Honourable Court may deem just.

THE GROUNDS for the motion are:

- (a) the Monitor has, in undertaking preparations for the conduct of the meeting of creditors scheduled for June 17, 2009, determined that a number of investment interests in life policies provided by USI are held jointly by two or more people;
- (b) the Claims Procedure Order dated January 23, 2009, and the Meeting Order dated May 15, 2009 do not provide explicit direction as to how votes related to these joint interests are to be tabulated;
- (c) the Monitor is of the view, based on its experience in similar cases before this Honourable Court, that the most reasonable, fair, and logical approach to tabulating votes relating to such interests is to provide that each jointly held investment shall be considered to be the claim of one Creditor, and shall entitle the joint holders of the Joint Claim to one vote, and the total value of such Joint Claim shall be undivided and attributed wholly to that vote;
- (d) in order to facilitate such a vote, the Monitor proposes that joint holders of Joint Claims will be limited to submitting only one voting ballot or one proxy which may be executed by one or more Persons sharing such Joint Claim, and such voting ballot or proxy submitted will be accepted on behalf of and be binding on all holders of such Joint Claim. Where more than one Person has executed the voting ballot or proxy, for purposes of calculating the majority in number and two-thirds majority by value, that vote shall be attributed to the Person named first in order on the voting ballot or proxy;
- (e) in the event that more than one voting ballot or proxy is received from more than one Person sharing a Joint Claim, if all such votes submitted are identical, the Monitor proposes that they shall be counted as one vote as set out above. If more than one voting ballot or proxy is received from more than one Person sharing a Joint Claim, and the votes indicated thereon are contradictory, all votes relating to that Joint Claim shall be disallowed unless the contradictions or conflicts are resolved to the satisfaction of the Monitor; and
- (f) such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court permit.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at the hearing of the motion:

- (a) The Eighth Report of the Monitor; and
- (b) such further and other evidence as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court permit.

Date: June 10, 2009

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Box 25, Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street, Suite 2800
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Katherine McEachern, LSUC #38345M
Tel: 416-863-2566
Fax: 416-863-2653

Lawyers for the Monitor

TO: ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

SERVICE LIST

TO: OGILVY RENAULT LLP
Royal Bank Plaza, South Tower
3800 – 200 Bay Street
Toronto, ON M5J 2Z4

William McNamara
Tel: (416) 216-3906
Fax: (416) 216-3930
Email: wmcnamara@ogilvyrenault.com

Allison Kuntz
Tel: (416) 216-4784
Fax: (416) 216-3930
Email: akuntz@ogilvyrenault.com

Lawyers for the Applicant

AND TO: BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
199 Bay Street
Suite 2800, Commerce Court West
Toronto, ON M5L 1A9

Susan M. Grundy
Tel: (416) 863-2572
Fax: (416) 863-2653
Email: Sue.Grundy@blakes.com

Katherine McEachern
Tel: (416) 863-2566
Fax: (416) 863-2653
Email: Katherine.McEachern@blakes.com

Lawyers for the Monitor, Ernst & Young Inc.

AND TO: ERNST & YOUNG INC.
Ernst & Young Tower, Suite 1600
222 Bay Street, PO Box 251
Toronto, ON M5K 1J7

Brian M. Denega

Tel: (416) 943-3058
Fax: (416) 943-3300
Email: Brian.M.Denega@ca.ey.com

Rahn Dodick

Tel: (416) 943-3034
Fax: (416) 943-3300
Email: Rahn.Dodick@ca.ey.com

Court-appointed Monitor

AND TO: ORMSTON LIST FRAWLEY LLP
40 University Avenue
Suite 720
Toronto, ON M5J 1T1

John P. Ormston, Esq.

Tel: (416) 594-0791
Fax: (416) 594-9690
Email: jormston@olflaw.com

Lawyers for Tom Mitchell, Daphne Mitchell,
Gilbert Pereira, Rino Bilfolchi, Patrick Runstedler
and Cajubi Caja Paraguaya de Jubilaciones y Pensiones

AND TO: KEENAN STONE LAW CENTRE PC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
207 Lake Street, Suite 2
Hamburg, New York 14075
U.S.A.

John J. Keenan

Tel: (716) 646-9400
Fax: (716) 646-9420
Email: jkeenan@keestonelaw.com

KEENAN AND STONE

7941 Jane Street, Suite 200
Concord, ON L4K 4L6
CANADA

John J. Keenan

Tel: (416) 619-1359

Lawyers for National Viaticals Inc.

AND TO: RUDERMAN, SHAW
Barristers & Solicitors
Suite 1820, P.O. Box 2037
20 Eglinton Avenue West
Toronto, ON M4R 1K8

F.H. Hugh Shaw
Tel: (416) 484-8558
Fax: (416) 484-6918
Email: hshaw@rudermanshaw.com

Lawyers for the Respondents, Ramon Credi Cheja,
Helen Marquard de Peterson, Nancy E. Lira-Hereford,
Pedro M. Olavarri, Russel E. Kennedy, Ana M.
Magaloni De Bustamante, Jose Manuel Rincon Gallardo,
Lucia B. Ruiz De Olavarri, Mariagrazia Savini and
Esteban Gonzalez Vergara

AND TO: BENNETT JONES LLP
One First Canadian Place
Suite 3400, P.O. Box 130
Toronto, ON M5X 1A4

Darcy Legros
Tel: (416) 777-6258
Fax: (416) 863-1716
Email: legrosd@bennettjones.ca

Lawyers for Tom Mitchell and Daphne Mitchell

AND TO: WHITE, DUNCAN, LINTON LLP
Barristers & Solicitors
P.O. Box 457
45 Erb Street East
Waterloo, ON N2J 4B5

Irwin A. Duncan
Email: iad@kwlaw.net
Michael A. vanBodegom
Email: mvb@kwlaw.net
Tel: (519) 886-3340
Fax: (519) 886-8651

Lawyers for Boyd Rees, Judy Rees,
Ted Buczek, Peter Dixon, Bogumila Lee,
Janusz Poreba and Andrzej Mardula

AND TO: SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.
500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2700
Dallas, Texas 75201
U.S.A.

William J. Garrison
Tel: (214) 706-4200
Fax: (214) 706-4242
Email: wgarrison@scheefandstone.com

Agents for Priority Pharmacy, Inc.

**AND TO: BANK AUSTRIA CREDITANSTALT
VERSICHERUNGSDIENST GmbH**
ReichsratsstraBe 17
1010 Vienna
AUSTRIA

Mario Sandhu
Tel: +43 (0)50505/42330
Fax: +43 (0)50505/42393
Email: Sandhu@ba-cavd.at

AND TO: DR. KARL HAFELE
Via Principale 43
I-39021 Laces
(Prov. Bolzano) ITALY
Tel: 0043-664-8314890
Email: karl.hafele@rhombbergbau.at

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OF ARRANGEMENT OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS
INTERNATIONAL INC.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceeding Commenced at Toronto

**NOTICE OF MOTION
(Returnable June 12, 2009)**

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Box 25, Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street, Suite 2800
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Katherine McEachern, LSUC #38345M
Tel: 416-863-2566
Fax: 416-863-2653

Lawyers for the Monitor,
Ernst & Young Inc.

TAB 2

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT
OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS INTERNATIONAL INC.

EIGHTH REPORT OF THE MONITOR
June 10, 2009

INTRODUCTION

1. On December 2, 2008, Universal Settlements International Inc. ("**USI**", the "**Company**" or the "**Applicant**") filed for and obtained protection from its creditors under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* R.S.C. 1985 c. C-36, as amended (the "**CCAA**") pursuant to provisions of an Order of this Honourable Court dated December 2, 2008 (the "**Initial Order**"). Pursuant to the Initial Order, Ernst & Young Inc. was appointed as monitor (the "**Monitor**") of the Applicant during these CCAA proceedings ("**CCAA Proceedings**").
2. To date, the Monitor has filed the following seven reports with this Honourable Court which are available on the Monitor's website (www.ey.com/ca/universalsettlements):

Report	Date
First Report	December 17, 2008
Second Report	December 22, 2008
Third Report	January 8, 2009
Fourth Report	January 20, 2009
Fifth Report	February 23, 2009
Sixth Report	April 21, 2009
Seventh Report and Supplement to Seventh Report	May 7, 2009 and May 15, 2009

3. The purpose of this eighth report (the “**Eighth Report**” or “**Report**”) is to seek an order:
 - i) approving the participation of translators at the Purchasers’ Meeting of Creditors; and
 - ii) providing directions to the Monitor regarding the appropriate means to tabulate the number and value of votes relating to interests of Purchasers in Life Settlements that are held jointly by two or more Purchasers.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

4. In preparing this Report, the Monitor has relied upon unaudited financial information, the Applicant’s books and records, financial information prepared by the Applicant and Mills, the financial analysis prepared by the Applicant in support of the proposed Plan and discussions with the management, legal counsel and advisors of the Applicant. The Monitor has not audited, reviewed, or otherwise attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information and, accordingly, the Monitor expresses no opinion or other form of assurance on the information contained in this Report.
5. Capitalized terms not defined in this Report are as defined in the Applicant’s Initial Application, the Initial Order, the Monitor’s previous reports to this Honourable Court, the Claims Procedure Order and the proposed Plan.

Meetings of Creditors

6. As described in the Seventh Report, all Creditors with Proven Claims will be entitled to vote on the Plan at two Meetings of Creditors which will take place on June 17, 2009 at the InterContinental Toronto Centre, 225 Front Street West, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. The first Meeting of Creditors for the Ordinary Creditor class will take place at 9:00am, and the second Meeting of Creditors for the Purchasers class will take place at 1:00pm (“**Second Meeting**”). At the Meetings

of Creditors the Creditors will have the opportunity to consider, and if deemed advisable, to approve the proposed Plan, with or without variation.

7. To assist German and Spanish speaking Purchasers attending the Second Meeting, the Monitor proposes to have German and Spanish translators present to translate orally what is said at the meeting from English to German and Spanish, and vice versa, if requested by any Purchaser present.
8. The translations proposed to be provided will be unofficial and for the convenience of the Purchasers only. In case of inconsistency between the meaning of the English version of what is said at the Second Meeting and the German or Spanish translated versions, the English version shall be deemed to prevail.

Vote at the Meetings of Creditors

9. At the Meetings of Creditors, the Monitor, acting as Chair, will direct a vote by written ballot on a resolution to approve the Plan and any amendments to the Plan as the Monitor and the Applicant may consider appropriate.
10. A vote by Creditors at the Meetings and by Proxy will be binding upon all Creditors, whether or not the Creditor was present or voted at the Meetings.
11. A passing vote on the proposed Plan at the Meetings of Creditors requires a two-thirds majority by value of Claims in each Class, and a fifty percent (50%) plus one (1) majority in number of each Creditor by class, who are present at the Meetings of Creditors in person or have submitted a proxy pursuant to the rules for submitting proxies. Each Creditor will be entitled to vote, without duplication, the amount of their Proven Claims. For the purpose of calculating a majority in number at each Meeting, each Creditor will only be counted once, even if that Creditor holds more than one claim in the Class then voting.
12. The Monitor has, in undertaking preparations for the conduct of the Meetings of Creditors scheduled for June 17, 2009, determined that approximately 825 of

Purchaser interests in Life Settlements provided by USI are held jointly by two or more Persons ("**Joint Claim**").

13. The Claims Procedure Order dated January 23, 2009 and the Meeting Order dated May 15, 2009 do not provide explicit direction as to how votes related to Joint Claims are to be tabulated.
14. The Monitor is of the view, based on its experience in similar cases before this Honourable Court, that the most reasonable, fair, and logical approach to tabulating votes relating to such interests is to provide that each jointly held investment shall be considered to be the claim of one Creditor, and shall entitle the joint holders of the Joint Claim to one vote, and the total value of such Joint Claim shall be undivided and attributed wholly to that vote.
15. In order to facilitate such a vote, the Monitor proposes that joint holders of Joint Claims will be limited to submitting only one voting ballot or one proxy which may be executed by one or more Persons sharing such Joint Claim, and such voting ballot or proxy submitted will be accepted on behalf of and be binding on all holders of such Joint Claim. Where more than one Person has executed the voting ballot or proxy, for purposes of calculating the majority in number and two-thirds majority by value, that vote shall be attributed to the Person named first in order on the voting ballot or proxy.
16. In the event that more than one voting ballot or proxy is received from more than one Person sharing a Joint Claim, if all such votes submitted are identical, they shall be counted as one vote as set out above. If more than one voting ballot or proxy is received from more than one Person sharing a Joint Claim, and the votes indicated thereon are contradictory, all votes relating to that Joint Claim shall be disallowed unless the contradictions or conflicts are resolved to the satisfaction of the Monitor.

17. All other terms and provisions of the Meeting Order shall govern all matters relating to a Joint Claim.
18. The Monitor has posted notice of this proposed form of Order on the Monitor's website and is of the view that it is sufficient notice to Creditors of the treatment of Joint Claims for purposes of voting at the Meetings of Creditors. The Applicant has indicated to the Monitor that it is in agreement with treatment of Joint Claims in the manner described above.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

19. It is the Monitor's view that providing the option for an oral translation of the Second Meeting to German and/or Spanish may benefit certain Purchasers, or their representatives, present at the Second Meeting for whom English is not their mother tongue. Therefore, the Monitor recommends that this Honourable Court make an order approving the use of translators in the manner described herein.
20. It is the Monitor's view that treatment of Joint Claims for voting purposes in the manner described in this Report is reasonable, fair and logical. Accordingly, the Monitor recommends that this Honourable Court make an order approving the treatment of Joint Claims in the manner described herein.

ALL OF WHICH is respectfully submitted this 10th day of June, 2009.

**ERNST & YOUNG INC.,
Court-appointed Monitor of
Universal Settlements
International Inc.**



Name: Brian Denega
Title: Senior Vice-President

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OF ARRANGEMENT OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS
INTERNATIONAL INC.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceeding Commenced at Toronto

**EIGHTH REPORT OF THE MONITOR,
ERNST & YOUNG INC.
(Returnable June 12, 2009)**

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Box 25, Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street, Suite 2800
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Katherine McEachern, LSUC #38345M
Tel: 416-863-2566
Fax: 416-863-2653

Lawyers for the Monitor,
Ernst & Young Inc.

TAB 3

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE - COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE	)	FRIDAY, THE 12TH DAY
	)	
JUSTICE H. WILTON-SIEGEL	)	OF JUNE, 2009

BETWEEN:

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS INTERNATIONAL INC.

The Applicant

ORDER

THIS MOTION, made by Ernst & Young Inc. in its capacity as Monitor of the Applicant (the "Monitor") for an order providing advice and directions to the Monitor regarding the appropriate means to tabulate the number and value of votes relating to interests of Purchasers (as they are defined in the 8th Report of the Monitor) that are held jointly by two or more Purchasers, was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Notice of Motion dated June 9, 2009, and the Eighth Report of the Monitor dated June 9, 2009 and on hearing counsel for the Applicant and counsel for the Monitor and those other parties present,

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record is hereby abridged so that this Motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meaning given to the in the Meeting Order of this Court dated May 15, 2009 (the "Meeting Order").
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that for purposes of calculating the majority in number and two-thirds majority by value of Claims in each Class pursuant to the Meeting Order, any right or interest held jointly in the name of more than one Person (a "Joint Claim") shall be deemed to be one Claim, which shall entitle the Persons holding such Joint Claim to one vote, and the total value of such Joint Claim shall be undivided and attributed wholly to that vote. One voting ballot or proxy may be executed by one or more Persons sharing such Joint Claim, and such voting ballot or proxy submitted will be accepted on behalf of and be binding on all holders of such Joint Claim. Where more than one Person has executed the voting ballot or proxy, for purposes of calculating the majority in number and two-thirds majority by value, that vote shall be attributed to the Person named first in order on the voting ballot or proxy.
4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that in the event that more than one voting ballot or proxy is received from more than one Person sharing a Joint Claim, if all such votes submitted are identical, they shall be counted as one vote as set out in paragraph 2 above. If more than one voting ballot or proxy is received from more than one Person sharing a Joint Claim, and the votes indicated thereon are contradictory, all votes relating to that

Joint Claim shall be disallowed, unless the contradictions or conflicts are resolved to the satisfaction of the Monitor.

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that in all other respects the terms and provisions of the Meeting Order shall govern all matters relating to a Joint Claim.
 6. THIS COURT ORDERS that notice of this Order shall be provided by the Monitor by posting a copy of it on the Monitor's website.
-

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c.
C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS INTERNATIONAL INC. (the "Applicant")

Court File No: 08-CL-7878

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE -
COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

O R D E R

Blake Cassels and Graydon LLP
199 Bay Street
Suite 2800, Commerce Court West
Toronto, Ontario
M5L 1A9

Katherine McEachern LSUC#: 38345M
Tel: (416) 863-2566
Fax: (416) 863-2653

Lawyers for the Monitor

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OF ARRANGEMENT OF UNIVERSAL SETTLEMENTS
INTERNATIONAL INC.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceeding Commenced at Toronto

**MOTION RECORD OF THE MONITOR,
ERNST & YOUNG INC.
(Returnable June 12, 2009)**

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Box 25, Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street, Suite 2800
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Katherine McEachern, LSUC #38345M
Tel: 416-863-2566
Fax: 416-863-2653

Lawyers for the Monitor,
Ernst & Young Inc.