



THE HONOURABLE

MR. JUSTICE McEWEN

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

) THURS DAY, THE 30th
)
) DAY OF APRIL, 2015

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PROPOSED PLAN OF COMPROMISE
OR ARRANGEMENT WITH RESPECT TO **HOLLINGER INC.**,
4322525 CANADA INC. AND SUGRA LIMITED

Applicants

ORDER
(Service and Notice re White Settlement Agreements)

THIS MOTION brought by the Applicants for an Order providing for the notice of this motion and any other motions relating to the release and settlement agreement between the Applicants and the Litigation Trustee on the one hand and Peter G. White ("**White**") and 7805578 Canada Inc. (formerly known as Peter G. White Management Ltd., referred to herein as "**White Ltd.**") on the other hand, dated March 27, 2015 (the "**White Settlement Agreement**") was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Motion Record of the Applicants dated April 15, 2015, and on being advised that the Service List including all Persons who filed Notices of Appearance in accordance with the Service and Notice Procedure Order dated November 12, 2010 were served electronically with the Applicants' motion materials:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the defined terms of this Order adopt the definitions found in this Court's Service and Notice Procedure Order of November 12, 2010 (the "**November 12, 2010 Order**"), except where otherwise noted.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the service of this motion and any other motions, orders or materials relating to the White Settlement Agreement upon the updated Service List (which includes all Persons who have provided a Notice of Appearance in accordance with the November 12, 2010 Order and all Defendants) in accordance with paragraph 6 below shall constitute good and sufficient notice and service upon the Creditors, Defendants, Shareholders and all other affected Persons of the White Settlement Agreement, the White settlement approval motion (the "**Approval Motion**") and all related motions and Orders, and that no form of service or notice is required to be provided by any of the Applicants, CRO or the Monitor to any other Person and no other documents or materials need be served on any Person in respect of the Approval Motion.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Person who filed a Notice of Appearance in accordance with the November 12, 2010 Order is entitled to participate in the Approval Motion and all motions related thereto.
4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Person who did not file a Notice of Appearance in accordance with the November 12, 2010 Order:
 - (a) Shall not be entitled to appear or be heard at the Approval Motion or any related motions or to receive any notice of, or materials or documentation relating to, arising out of or in conjunction with the Approval Motion or related motions;

- (b) Shall be bound by the terms of any order issued in relation to the Approval Motion or any related motions;
 - (c) Shall be forever barred and enjoined from making any objection or opposition to, disputing in any manner whatsoever or appealing or moving to set aside or vary the White Settlement Agreement, any order issued in relation to the Approval Motion, or any other documents, Orders or proceedings with respect to, arising out of or in connection with the White Settlement Agreement or the Approval Motion.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor and the CRO shall have the same protections as those afforded to them in paragraphs 11 and 12, respectively, of the November 12, 2010 Order.
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that service pursuant to this Order may be made by forwarding true copies by pre-paid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission. Any service by courier, personal delivery or electronic or digital transmission shall be deemed to be received on the next business date following the date sent, or if sent by pre-paid ordinary mail, on the fourth business day after mailing.
7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any interested party (including the Applicants and the Monitor) may apply to this Court for directions in relation to this Order or to vary or amend this Order on not less than seven days' notice to any other party or parties likely to be affected by the Order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.
8. **THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States to

give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicants, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicants and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order or to assist the Applicants and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.



ENTERED AT / INSCRIT A TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO.:

APR 30 2015



IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PROPOSED PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT WITH RESPECT TO
HOLLINGER INC., 4322525 CANADA INC. AND SUGRA LIMITED

Court File No.: **07-CL-7120**

ONTARIO
**SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced at **Toronto**

WHITE SERVICE AND NOTICE ORDER

Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 3200, P.O. Box 329
Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, Ontario
M5K 1K7

Megan Keenberg (LSUC# 53735G)
Tel: 416-304-1127
Fax: 416-304-1313

Lawyers for the Applicants