Court File No. 07-CL-7120

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PROPOSED PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT WITH RESPECT TO HOLLINGER INC., 4322525 CANADA INC. AND SUGRA LIMITED

APPLICATION UNDER THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

THIRTEENTH REPORT OF THE MONITOR
May 25, 2010

1. On August 1, 2007, Hollinger Inc. ("Hollinger") and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Applicants") filed for and obtained protection from their creditors under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA") pursuant to the Order of this Honourable Court dated August 1, 2007 (the "Initial Order"). Pursuant to the Initial Order, Ernst & Young Inc. was appointed as the monitor of the Applicants (the "Monitor") in these CCAA proceedings.

PURPOSE

2. The purpose of this Thirteenth Report of the Monitor (the "Thirteenth Report") is to advise this Honourable Court with respect to the following:

   (a) the Applicants' actual cash receipts and disbursements;

   (b) the Applicants' revised cash flow projections, including authorization of a debtor in possession ("DIP") loan between Domgroup and Hollinger and authorization of priority distributions under the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement;

   (c) the Applicants' request for an extension of the Stay Period;

(d) an update on the Fourth Excess Layer of Directors' and Officers' Insurance;

(e) an update on the Applicants' and Non-Applicants' Claims Processes;

(f) an update on the status of the discussions with Representative Counsel with respect to the settlement of certain of Domgroup's liabilities;

(g) an update on the activities of the Litigation Trust; and

(h) the Monitor's conclusions and recommendations.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

3. In preparing this Report, the Monitor has relied upon unaudited financial information and records of the Applicants and certain of their Non–Applicant subsidiaries and discussions with management of the Applicants and Non-Applicants. The Monitor has not performed an audit or other procedures to evaluate the reliability or completeness of the information. Accordingly, the Monitor expresses no opinion or any other form of assurance on the historical or prospective financial statements, management representations or other data included in this Report. An examination of the financial projection as outlined in the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") Handbook has not been performed. Future oriented financial information relied upon in this Report is based on management's assumptions regarding future events and actual results achieved will vary from this information and the variations may be material.

4. Capitalized terms not defined in this Report are as defined in the Initial Order or previous reports of the Monitor. All references to dollars are in Canadian currency unless otherwise noted.

THE APPLICANTS' ACTUAL CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

5. A summary of the Applicants' actual receipts and disbursements for the period from October 17, 2009 to April 30, 2010 compared to their projections filed with this Honourable Court with the Twelfth Report is attached as Appendix "A" hereto.

6. As at April 30, 2010, the cash balances of each of the Applicants, excluding the amounts held in trust by Aird & Berlis for the officers' indemnity trust, and the principal Non-Applicants (with material amounts of cash), excluding the Domgroup Post Retirement Benefits Trust, were as follows:

	(Cdn Millions)
Applicants	$ 2.6
Domgroup	$22.7
10 Toronto	$1.7

THE APPLICANTS' REVISED CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS INCLUDING A DIP LOAN BETWEEN DOMGROUP AND HOLLINGER

7. Attached as Appendix "B" is an updated cash flow projection for the period from May 1, 2010 to January 7, 2011 (the "Projection Period") prepared based on information from the Applicants.

8. The Applicants are projecting total receipts of approximately $4.2 million, consisting primarily of a draw on a Domgroup DIP loan of $4.0 million. The Applicants are projecting total cash disbursements of approximately $5.9 million, resulting in a net decrease in cash of approximately $1.7 million over the Projection Period.

9. As previously reported, the Applicants indicated that Domgroup had committed to repay on an "as needed" basis a portion of the $16.7 million of intercompany amounts owed to Hollinger as of March 31, 2008. Intercompany repayments from Domgroup to Hollinger from April 1, 2008 to April 30, 2010 total approximately $8.7 million. As of April 30, 2010 after adjusting for both changes in the foreign exchange rate and the repayments described above, Domgroup owes Hollinger approximately $8.3 million as of April 30, 2010.

10. The Monitor understands that Domgroup, subject to approval from both its board of directors and this Honourable Court, is prepared to advance a $4.2 million DIP loan to Hollinger. Domgroup is proposing to charge interest to Hollinger at the Canadian

Imperial Bank of Commerce's Prime Rate + 3% per annum on any draws that are made on the $4.2 million DIP loan. The DIP loan would be repayable by offsetting amounts owing from Domgroup to Hollinger.

11. The Applicants are also seeking an Order that would allow for the $4.2 million DIP loan charge to rank behind both the Administrative Charge and the Directors' Charge.

12. Based on the information contained in the affidavit filed by the Chief Restructuring Officer of the Applicants and Non-Applicants, dated May 25, 2010 (the "CRO Affidavit"), including his confirmation that there is sufficient cash to pay all third party creditors in full, there should be no prejudice to the stakeholders of Domgroup if it makes the proposed $4.2 million DIP loan available to Hollinger.

13. As previously reported, Catalyst Capital Fund I ("Catalyst"), has asserted that its claim of $1,988,388 is a super-priority claim. The claim was originally filed by Catalyst with the Monitor in the Applicants' Claims Process as an unsecured claim against Hollinger. Catalyst and its counsel were to provide both the CRO and the Monitor with a written submission to explain the basis upon which Catalyst asserts a super-priority claim, which submission was expected to be delivered in October 2009. When no submission was provided, a 9:30 Appointment before this Honourable Court was held on May 6, 2010 to seek advice and directions, and to set a schedule for a hearing to determine this claim, if necessary. At this appearance, counsel for Catalyst requested additional time to provide its submission. As of the date of this Report, neither the Monitor nor the CRO have received a submission. Counsel to Catalyst advises that its written submission will be provided during the week of May 25, 2010. No determination has been made by the Monitor as to the merits of a super-priority claim.

14. Once Catalyst's submission is received, the Applicants expect to attend once again at a 9:30 Appointment to seek advice and directions regarding this claim and to schedule a hearing if necessary.

15. As of the date of this report, apart from the Catalyst claim described above, there are no secured or super-priority claims that have been filed or asserted against the Applicants that would be prejudiced by the Order to provide for a $4.2 million DIP charge. The

proposed DIP loan would allow the Applicants to continue operations during the Projection Period.

16. The Multi-Party Settlement Agreement approved by Order of this Honourable Court on May 21, 2008 allows for the following payments to be made in priority to distributions to creditors, subject to both a total cap of $4.5 million and appropriate reserves:

    (a) a transaction fee to DK of $1.5 million;

    (b) reasonable legal fees and disbursements of the Indenture Trustees up to and including Court approval; and

    (c) reasonable legal fees and disbursements of DK up to and including Court approval.

17. Pursuant to an order from this Honourable Court, $2.3 million of the priority distributions were made by the Applicants in December 2009. The Multi-Party Settlement Agreement does not articulate the timing of the priority distributions set out above. In order to fund the priority distributions from the Applicants, it is necessary to transfer cash from the Non-Applicants to the Applicants.

18. As discussed in the CRO Affidavit, upon receipt of the $2.2 million draw on the DIP loan and subject to approval by this Honourable Court, Hollinger will use the funds to make the remaining priority distribution as contemplated in the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement. The Applicants' attached cash flow projections include these $2.2 million of priority distributions during the Projection Period.

APPLICANTS' PROPOSED ACTION PLAN AND REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE STAY PERIOD

19. The Applicants are seeking an extension of the Stay Period for a period of seven and one half months to January 7, 2011 in order to permit them to continue to implement the Claims Order, the Non-Applicant Claims Order and the Approval Order (including the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement) and to pursue the Litigation Assets through the Litigation Trust, in order to bring forward a plan or plans of arrangement, if desirable for consideration by all of the Applicants' stakeholders.

20. The Monitor is of the view that in seeking the extension of the Stay Period, for the purposes noted above, the Applicants are acting in good faith and with due diligence.

UPDATE ON THE FOURTH EXCESS LAYER OF D&O INSURANCE

21. As previously reported, in order to determine what, if any, third parties are beneficiaries and are entitled to share in $24.5 million of insurance settlement proceeds, an interpleader action was commenced in the Delaware Superior Court. The named interpleader defendants, including STMG, Hollinger and a number of their former directors, officers and/or employees, are parties to the insurance settlement agreement and potential claimants to the funds held in escrow.

22. On January 12, 2010, the Delaware Superior Court issued an order calling for individuals and entities with an interest in the interpleader action to submit a claim, by February 26, 2010. The Monitor understands that the Applicants and STMG were among several parties that filed a claim. The parties that submitted claims are currently evaluating the claims that were filed.

23. Pursuant to the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement, STMG and Hollinger will cooperate to maximize the recoverable portion of the insurance settlement proceeds payable to them. Such proceeds shall be allocated so that STMG receives 85 percent and Hollinger receives 15 percent of such proceeds. The Monitor understands from the Applicants that, at this time, it is premature to conclude on what, if any, proceeds may be available to the Applicants as a result of these proceedings.

THE APPLICANTS' CLAIMS PROCESS UPDATE

24. By Order of this Honourable Court dated May 21, 2008, the Monitor was authorized to conduct a claims process for the Applicants (the "Applicants' Claims Process"). Among other things, the Claims Order established July 11, 2008 as the Claims Bar Date with respect to claims arising prior to August 1, 2007 or after that date if as a result of the restructuring, repudiation or termination of any contract, lease or employment agreement.

25. Forty-eight claims (including inter-company claims) were received either on or just before the Claims Bar Date. Three additional claims were received after the Claims Bar Date, which were accepted for filing. The table below shows the total number of claims that have been filed against each Applicant.

Applicant	Number of Claims Received
Hollinger	34
Sugra	7
4322525	10
Total	51

26. The Monitor, in consultation with the CRO, has issued fifteen Notices of Revision or Disallowance with respect to the above claims. To date, there are three outstanding Notices of Dispute filed by Ravelston. In addition, six claims have been consensually withdrawn by the creditors who filed them.

27. The Applicants and the Monitor are continuing to review the claims. Both the Applicants and the Monitor believe that there are significant claims that continue to require careful examination before they are allowed, revised or disallowed in due course. Some significant claims are connected to the ongoing litigation involving the Applicants and others and therefore cannot be dealt with in isolation. The Applicants and the Monitor have updated key stakeholders as recently as May 21, 2010 as to the progress of the Applicants' Claims Process as contemplated under the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement approved by this Honourable Court.

NON-APPLICANTS' CLAIMS PROCESS UPDATE

28. By Order of this Honourable Court dated August 27, 2008, the Monitor was authorized to conduct a claims process for the Non-Applicants (the "Non-Applicants' Claims

Process"). Among other things, the Non-Applicant Claims Order established September 30, 2008 as the Claims Bar Date.

29. Thirty-six claims (excluding inter-company claims) were received by the Claims Bar Date. Four additional claims were received after the Claims Bar Date, including the claim filed by Representative Counsel, that were accepted for filing as discussed in more detail below. The table below shows the total number of claims that have been filed against each Non-Applicant.

Non-Applicant	**Number of Claims Received**
Domgroup	32
10 Toronto Street	8
Willett Foods	0
Holcay Holdings	0
Total	40

30. The Monitor has issued ten Notices of Revision or Disallowance with respect to the above claims. There remain six unresolved Notices of Dispute that have been received by the Monitor. Six claims have been consensually withdrawn by the Creditors who filed them.

31. Eighteen of the claims that were filed against Domgroup are by former employees of Dominion Stores relating to their post-retirement health and dental benefit plans, long term disability entitlements, pension top-up obligations and life insurance. These claimants had previously been required to file proofs of claim under the Non-Applicant Claims Order.

32. As approved by Order of this Honourable Court dated November 26, 2008, Koskie Minsky LLP was appointed Representative Counsel for these claimants. On February 27,

2009, Representative Counsel filed a new claim against Domgroup on behalf of all claimants, and withdrew 17 of the 18 claims that had been filed by individuals.

33. The Non-Applicants and the Monitor have updated key stakeholders as to the progress of the Non-Applicants' Claims Process as recently as May 21, 2010 as contemplated under the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement approved by this Honourable Court.

THE STATUS OF THE DISCUSSIONS WITH REPRESENTATIVE COUNSEL

34. On February 27, 2009, Representative Counsel filed a new claim against Domgroup on behalf of all former employee and retiree claimants of Domgroup in the Non-Applicants' Claims Process relating to their post-retirement health and dental benefit plans, long term disability entitlements, pension top-up obligations and life insurance.

35. Since the Twelfth Report, the Monitor has attended several meetings between Domgroup and Representative Counsel (along with their respective actuaries). There are numerous issues to be resolved between Domgroup and Representative Counsel's various constituent groups. Further follow-up meetings are scheduled in the coming weeks as the parties continue their negotiations.

36. The Monitor has observed good faith efforts by both parties to resolve the actuarial differences and the settlement mechanism. If a resolution cannot be achieved, then it may be necessary to have the matter mediated by the Court.

UPDATE ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THE LITIGATION TRUST

37. The Litigation Trust has been moving forward with the claims within its mandate. The Monitor keeps itself apprised of the progress of the Litigation Trust. At this time, the Monitor understands that, although progress has been made, the Litigation Trustee feels that it is premature to formally report on those developments.

MONITOR'S CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

38. The Monitor understands that the Non-Applicants need to ascertain the quantum of all claims that may be made against them in order to repay *bona fide* third party claims and effect a wind-up or liquidation of the Non-Applicants as contemplated by the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement.

39. The CRO has reported that the books of account and results to date in the Non-Applicants' Claims Process indicate that Domgroup has sufficient funds available, after reserving for potential third party liabilities, to advance a $4.2 million DIP loan to Hollinger.

40. Despite several attempts, the Catalyst claim for super-priority has not been formally articulated or determined. The Monitor is of the view that if Catalyst takes the position that it has a super-priority claim over the funds either in 10 Toronto or Domgroup that are the subject of the Applicants' and the Non-Applicants' pending motion, then the status of the claim should be considered in the context of the proposed distribution. The Applicants have advised that they intend to appear before this Honourable Court to seek advice and directions regarding the purported super-priority claim, including, if required, a determination of the priority of the Catalyst claim.

41. The Applicants' proposed motion to approve the remaining $2.2 million priority distribution from the Applicants pursuant to the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement represents a positive step forward in transferring cash from the Non-Applicants to the Applicants and providing some recovery to certain large creditors.

42. The Applicants and their major creditors continue to have discussions regarding the most effective way to wind up the Non-Applicants and return surplus assets to the Applicants.

43. In the context of the foregoing, the Monitor recommends that this Honourable Court approve the Applicants' and Non-Applicants' request for orders:

    (a) extending the Stay Period to January 7, 2011;

    (b) authorizing the $4.2 million DIP loan from Domgroup and the Order to allow for a DIP loan charge (subject to this Honourable Court's determination of the validity of the super-priority claim alleged by Catalyst, if necessary); and

(c) authorizing the remaining $2.2 million priority distribution from the Applicants pursuant to the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

ERNST & YOUNG INC.

In its capacity as Court-appointed
Monitor of Hollinger Inc. and certain of its affiliates

Per:

Brian M. Denega
Senior Vice President

APPENDIX A

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited (collectively the "Applicants")
Consolidated Weekly Cash Flow Variance Analysis compared to the November 11, 2009 Cash Flow Projection

C$ 000s	Projected Results from October 17, 2009 to April 30, 2010	Actual Results from October 17, 2009 to April 30, 2010	Variance	Notes
Opening Cash Balance	**4,235**	**4,235**		
Receipts				
Interest income	9	3	(5)	
Other	-	-	-	
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - 10 Toronto	2,500	2,300	(200)	1
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - Domgroup	-	-		
Total receipts	**2,509**	**2,303**	**(205)**	
Disbursements				
Legal Fees	(656)	(836)	(180)	2
Chapter 15 Legal Fees (US)	(8)	(3)	5	
CCAA Legal Fees (Canada)	(283)	(231)	51	
CCAA Monitor and Claims Officer Fees	(95)	(58)	37	
Contractor Fees	(409)	(410)	(1)	
Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees	(198)	(170)	28	
Interest on Senior Secured Notes	-	-	-	
Operating Costs related to premises	(63)	(78)	(14)	
Taxes	-	97	97	3
Other	(6)	(0)	6	
Settlement Payments	(2,500)	(2,300)	200	1
Foreign Exchange	-	0	0	
Total Disbursements	**(4,218)**	**(3,989)**	**229**	
Net Cash Inflow / Outflow	**(1,709)**	**(1,685)**	**24**	
Ending Cash Balance	**2,526**	**2,550**	**24**	

The Cash balance above is shown in thousands of Canadian dollars and excludes approximately $0.5 million held in trust by Aird & Berlis for the officers' indemnity trust.

1) In accordance with a court order, 10 Toronto made a partial repayment of intercompany debt to Hollinger and Sugra and upon receipt of the $2.3 million repayment, the Applicants' used the funds to make a partial priority distribution as contemplated in the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement.

2) Actual disbursements for Legal Fees were higher than projected. The difference represents the reversal of previously reported timing differences from the projection.

3) Taxes disbursements includes a tax refund from 2001 tax year that was not included in the court filed cash flow projection.

APPENDIX B

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited,
(collectively the "Applicants")
Weekly Cash Flow Projection
For the Period from May 1, 2010 to January 7, 2011

Projection for the Week Ending		**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**
		1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**
		Friday	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**
C$ 000s	**Notes**	**07-May**	**14-May**	**21-May**	**28-May**	**04-Jun**	**11-Jun**	**18-Jun**	**25-Jun**
Opening Cash Balance	1	**2,550**	**2,334**	**2,292**	**2,250**	**2,047**	**2,284**	**2,242**	**2,200**
Receipts									
Interest income	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Other	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - 10 Toronto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - Domgroup		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIP Borrowings	4					500	-	-	2,200
Total receipts		**1**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**501**	**-**	**-**	**2,200**
Disbursements									
Legal Fees	5	(77)	(27)	(27)	(126)	(107)	(27)	(27)	(27)
Chapter 15 Legal Fees (US)	6	(1)	-	-	-	(1)	-	-	-
CCAA Legal Fees (Canada)	7	(15)	(15)	(15)	(76)	(15)	(15)	(15)	(15)
CCAA Monitor and Claims Officer Fees	8	(15)	-	-	-	(30)	-	-	-
Contractor Fees	9	(68)	-	-	-	(68)	-	-	-
Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees	10	(30)	-	-	-	(30)	-	-	-
Interest on Senior Secured Notes	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs related to premises	12	(12)	-	-	-	(12)	-	-	-
Taxes	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	14	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-	-
Settlement Payments	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,200)
Foreign Exchange	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Disbursements		**(217)**	**(42)**	**(42)**	**(203)**	**(264)**	**(42)**	**(42)**	**(2,242)**
Net Cash Inflow / Outflow		**(216)**	**(42)**	**(42)**	**(203)**	**237**	**(42)**	**(42)**	**(42)**
Closing Cash Balance		**2,334**	**2,292**	**2,250**	**2,047**	**2,284**	**2,242**	**2,200**	**2,158**

See accompanying notes and assumptions which should be read in conjunction herewith

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited,
(collectively the "Applicants")
Weekly Cash Flow Projection
For the Period from May 1, 2010 to January 7, 2011

Projection for the Week Ending ***C$ 000s***	**Notes**	**2010 9 Friday 02-Jul**	**2010 10 Friday 09-Jul**	**2010 11 Friday 16-Jul**	**2010 12 Friday 23-Jul**	**2010 13 Friday 30-Jul**	**2010 14 Friday 06-Aug**	**2010 15 Friday 13-Aug**	**2010 16 Friday 20-Aug**
Opening Cash Balance	1	**2,158**	**1,897**	**1,828**	**1,761**	**1,696**	**1,632**	**1,880**	**1,821**
Receipts									
Interest income	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - 10 Toronto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - Domgroup		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIP Borrowings	4		-	-	-	-	500	-	-
Total receipts		**1**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**500**	**-**	**-**
Disbursements									
Legal Fees	5	(83)	(29)	(29)	(29)	(29)	(83)	(29)	(29)
Chapter 15 Legal Fees (US)	6	(1)	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-
CCAA Legal Fees (Canada)	7	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)
CCAA Monitor and Claims Officer Fees	8	(16)	-	-	-	-	(16)	-	-
Contractor Fees	9	(73)	-	-	-	-	(73)	-	-
Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees	10	(32)	-	-	-	-	(32)	-	-
Interest on Senior Secured Notes	11	(13)	(12)	(11)	(10)	(9)	(8)	(7)	(6)
Operating Costs related to premises	12	(13)	-	-	-	-	(13)	-	-
Taxes	13	(13)	(12)	(11)	(10)	(9)	(8)	(7)	(6)
Other	14	(1)	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-
Settlement Payments	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Foreign Exchange	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Disbursements		**(262)**	**(69)**	**(67)**	**(65)**	**(63)**	**(252)**	**(59)**	**(57)**
Net Cash Inflow / Outflow		**(261)**	**(69)**	**(67)**	**(65)**	**(63)**	**248**	**(59)**	**(57)**
Closing Cash Balance		**1,897**	**1,828**	**1,761**	**1,696**	**1,632**	**1,880**	**1,821**	**1,764**

See accompanying notes and assumptions which should be read in conjunction herewith

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited,
(collectively the "Applicants")
Weekly Cash Flow Projection
For the Period from May 1, 2010 to January 7, 2011

Projection for the Week Ending		**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**
		17	**18**	**19**	**20**	**21**	**22**	**23**	**24**
		Friday	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**
C$ 000s	**Notes**	**27-Aug**	**03-Sep**	**10-Sep**	**17-Sep**	**24-Sep**	**01-Oct**	**08-Oct**	**15-Oct**
Opening Cash Balance	1	**1,764**	**1,709**	**1,465**	**1,414**	**1,364**	**1,317**	**1,581**	**1,536**
Receipts									
Interest income	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - 10 Toronto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - Domgroup		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIP Borrowings	4	-		-	-	-	500		
Total receipts		**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**500**	**-**	**-**
Disbursements									
Legal Fees	5	(29)	(83)	(29)	(29)	(29)	(83)	(29)	(29)
Chapter 15 Legal Fees (US)	6	-	(1)	-	-	-	(1)	-	-
CCAA Legal Fees (Canada)	7	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)
CCAA Monitor and Claims Officer Fees	8	-	(16)	-	-	-	(16)	-	-
Contractor Fees	9	-	(73)	-	-	-	(73)	-	-
Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees	10	-	(32)	-	-	-	(32)	-	-
Interest on Senior Secured Notes	11	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	-	-	-
Operating Costs related to premises	12	-	(13)	-	-	-	(13)	-	-
Taxes	13	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	-	-	-
Other	14	-	(1)	-	-	-	(1)	-	-
Settlement Payments	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Foreign Exchange	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Disbursements		**(55)**	**(244)**	**(51)**	**(49)**	**(47)**	**(236)**	**(45)**	**(45)**
Net Cash Inflow / Outflow		**(55)**	**(244)**	**(51)**	**(49)**	**(47)**	**264**	**(45)**	**(45)**
Closing Cash Balance		**1,709**	**1,465**	**1,414**	**1,364**	**1,317**	**1,581**	**1,536**	**1,491**

See accompanying notes and assumptions which should be read in conjunction herewith

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited,
(collectively the "Applicants")
Weekly Cash Flow Projection
For the Period from May 1, 2010 to January 7, 2011

Projection for the Week Ending		**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2010**
		25	**26**	**27**	**28**	**29**	**30**	**31**	**32**
		Friday	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**
C$ 000s	**Notes**	**22-Oct**	**29-Oct**	**05-Nov**	**12-Nov**	**19-Nov**	**26-Nov**	**03-Dec**	**10-Dec**
Opening Cash Balance	1	**1,491**	**1,445**	**1,400**	**1,164**	**1,119**	**1,074**	**1,028**	**1,248**
Receipts									
Interest income	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	156	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - 10 Toronto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - Domgroup		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIP Borrowings	4							300	
Total receipts		**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**456**	**-**
Disbursements									
Legal Fees	5	(29)	(29)	(83)	(29)	(29)	(29)	(83)	(29)
Chapter 15 Legal Fees (US)	6	-	-	(1)	-	-	-	(1)	-
CCAA Legal Fees (Canada)	7	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)
CCAA Monitor and Claims Officer Fees	8	-	-	(16)	-	-	-	(16)	-
Contractor Fees	9	-	-	(73)	-	-	-	(73)	-
Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees	10	-	-	(32)	-	-	-	(32)	-
Interest on Senior Secured Notes	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs related to premises	12	-	-	(13)	-	-	-	(13)	-
Taxes	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	14	-	-	(1)	-	-	-	(1)	-
Settlement Payments	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Foreign Exchange	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Disbursements		**(45)**	**(45)**	**(236)**	**(45)**	**(45)**	**(45)**	**(236)**	**(45)**
Net Cash Inflow / Outflow		**(45)**	**(45)**	**(236)**	**(45)**	**(45)**	**(45)**	**220**	**(45)**
Closing Cash Balance		**1,445**	**1,400**	**1,164**	**1,119**	**1,074**	**1,028**	**1,248**	**1,203**

See accompanying notes and assumptions which should be read in conjunction herewith

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited,
(collectively the "Applicants")
Weekly Cash Flow Projection
For the Period from May 1, 2010 to January 7, 2011

Projection for the Week Ending		**2010**	**2010**	**2010**	**2011**
		33	**34**	**35**	**36**
		Friday	**Friday**	**Friday**	**Friday**
C$ 000s	**Notes**	**17-Dec**	**24-Dec**	**31-Dec**	**07-Jan**
Opening Cash Balance	1	**1,203**	**1,158**	**1,112**	**1,067**
Receipts					
Interest income	2	-	-	-	-
Other	3	-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - 10 Toronto		-	-	-	-
Intercompany Accounts Receivable - Domgroup		-	-	-	-
DIP Borrowings	4				
Total receipts		**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**
Disbursements					
Legal Fees	5	(29)	(29)	(29)	(83)
Chapter 15 Legal Fees (US)	6	-	-	-	(1)
CCAA Legal Fees (Canada)	7	(16)	(16)	(16)	(16)
CCAA Monitor and Claims Officer Fees	8	-	-	-	(16)
Contractor Fees	9	-	-	-	(73)
Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees	10	-	-	-	(32)
Interest on Senior Secured Notes	11	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs related to premises	12	-	-	-	(13)
Taxes	13	-	-	-	-
Other	14	-	-	-	-
Settlement Payments	15	-	-	-	-
Foreign Exchange	16	-	-	-	-
Total Disbursements		**(45)**	**(45)**	**(45)**	**(235)**
Net Cash Inflow / Outflow		**(45)**	**(45)**	**(45)**	**(235)**
Closing Cash Balance		**1,158**	**1,112**	**1,067**	**832**

See accompanying notes and assumptions which should be read in conjunction herewith

Hollinger Inc., 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited, (collectively the "Applicants")
Cash Flow Projection
Notes and Assumptions

These cash flow projections include cash flow projections for Hollinger Inc, 4322525 Canada Inc. and Sugra Limited, (collectively the "Applicants").

These projections are based on information from the Applicants which has not been audited, reviewed or otherwise verified.
This is not a projection or forecast under section 4250 of the CICA Handbook.
The actual timing and amount of the cash flows may fluctuate from the estimates shown herein and these fluctuations may be material.

Foreign Exchange Rate (US Dollars to Canadian Dollars) used for this projection is **1.01**

1) The Cash balance is shown in thousands of Canadian dollars and excludes the amounts held in trust by Aird & Berlis for the officers' indemnity trust.

2) Interest income is estimated based on projected cash balances and actual recent rates of return. No provision has been made for interest, fees or other charges in connection with any borrowings that may be required.

3) Other receipts include the recovery from unclaimed dividends.

4) DIP Borrowing consist of intercompany borrowings from Domgroup Limited ("Domgroup") a wholly owned subsidiaries of Hollinger Inc. Subject to court approval and approval of its board of directors, Domgroup is prepared to make DIP advances to the Applicants of up to $4.2 million during the period.

5) Legal fees represent estimated fees on account of general corporate and litigation services.

6) Chapter 15 Legal Fees reflect the estimated legal fees associated with a Chapter 15 filing and certain other US legal issues. These fees are expected to be negligible over the projection period.

7) CCAA Legal Fees reflect the estimated legal fees of both the Company's and Monitor's respective counsel but excludes the costs related to the CCAA claim process, which are shown separately.

8) CCAA Monitor Fees & Claims Officer re Claims Process reflect the estimated Monitor fees associated with a CCAA filing and the fees of the Claim Officer associated with a CCAA claims process.

9) Contractor Fees represent fees paid to the Chief Restructuring Officer for services rendered.

10) Finance, Tax Administration and Financial Advisor Fees represent payments to various professionals including the transfer agent.

11) Interest on Senior Secured Notes of approximately US $6.0 million is payable twice a year in March and September. The projection assumes that these payments will not be made in accordance with the Multi-Party Settlement and the May 21, 2008 Court Order.

12) Operating Costs re premises represent Hollinger's portion of shared costs with Non-Applicants for leases and operating costs associated with the Wellington and First Canadian Place offices.

13) The projection does not include any receipts or disbursements as a result of tax reassessments or penalties for late filings.

14) Other includes sundry expenses.

15) Settlement Payments represent payments as agreed by the Applicants and certain of their creditors as set out in the Multi-Party Settlement Agreement as approved by the Court on May 21, 2008. The projection assumes that subject to Court approval, the remaining $2.2 million of priority distributions are made by the Applicants during the Projection Period.