No. VLC-S-S205095
Vancouver Registry

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND

IN THE MATTER OF THE *BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT*,
S.B.C. 2002, c.57, AS AMENDED

AND

IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE AND ARRANGEMENT OF
PORT CAPITAL DEVELOPMNT (EV) INC. and EVERGREEN HOUSE DEVELOPMENT
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

Name of applicant: Ernst & Young Inc. ("**EY**"), in its capacity as Court-appointed monitor of Port Capital Development (EV) Inc. and Evergreen House Development Limited Partnership (collectively, the "**Petitioners**") in this proceeding (in such capacity, the "**Monitor**")

To: The CCAA Service List

TAKE NOTICE that an application will be made by the Monitor to Justice Fitzpatrick at the courthouse at 800 Smithe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia on July 13, 2022 at 9:45 am for the orders set out in Part 1 below.

Part 1: ORDERS SOUGHT

1. The Monitor seeks the following orders on this application:

   (a) an order abridging the time for service of this notice of application such that it is properly returnable on July 13, 2022;

   (b) approving the activities of the Monitor as set fourth in the Proposed Monitor's Report dated May 28, 2020, the Monitor's First Report to Court, dated June 5, 2020, the Monitor's Second Report to Court, dated August 27, 2020, the Monitor's Third Report to Court, dated November 27, 2020 and the Confidential Supplement thereto, the Monitor's Fourth Report to Court, dated February 23, 2021, the Monitor's Fifth Report to Court, dated April 13, 2021, the Monitor's Sixth Report to Court, dated May 20, 2021, the Monitor's Confidential Seventh Report to Court, dated June 8, 2021, and the Monitor's Eighth Report to Court, dated December 14, 2022, the Monitor's Ninth Report to Court dated February 11, 2022, the

Monitor's Tenth Report to Court dated April 28, 2022, the Monitor's Eleventh Report to Court dated May 12, 2022, the Monitor's Twelfth Report to Court dated June 16, 2022 and the Monitor's Thirteenth Report to Court (to be filed) (collectively, the "**Monitor's Reports**").

(c) an order approving the Monitor's fees and disbursements properly incurred in connection with this proceeding from the period from April 27, 2020 to June 30, 2022; and

(d) an order approving the fees and disbursements of the Monitor's counsel, Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP ("**Blakes**"), properly incurred in connection with this proceeding for the period from May 14, 2020 to June 30, 2022.

2. The Monitor further requests such other relief as this Honourable Court may deem to be just and appropriate in the circumstances.

Part 2: FACTUAL BASIS

Monitor's Appointment and Activities

1. On May 29, 2020, this Court granted an initial order (the "**Initial Order**") pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, C-36, as amended (the "**CCAA**").

2. Pursuant to the Initial Order, as amended by an Amended and Restated Initial Order granted June 8, 2020 (the "**ARIO**") and a Further Amended and Restated Initial Order grated February 15, 2022 (the "**FARIO**"), EY was appointed as Monitor, an officer of this Court, to monitor the business and financial affairs of the Petitioners, with the powers and obligations set out in the CCAA, the Initial Order, the ARIO, the FARIO, and subsequent orders of this Court.

3. Pursuant to sections 28-32 of the Initial Order, the Monitor was provided with enhanced powers to execute control over the Petitioners, explore restructuring opportunities for the Terrace House Project, and administer a sales investment and solicitation process (the "**SISP**") to obtain an equity investment, a refinancing or alternatively a sale of the Terrace House Project.

4. Blakes was engaged by the Monitor in accordance with paragraph 23(h) of the Initial Order to advise the Monitor respecting the exercise of its powers and performance of its obligations.

5. The activities undertaken by the Monitor and its legal counsel in the discharge of the Monitor's powers and obligations are described in the reports that have been periodically submitted by the Monitor to this Court.

6. As described in the Proposed Monitor's Report to this Court dated May 28, 2020 (the "**Proposed Monitor's Report**") in the period prior to May 28, 2020 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Proposed Monitor's Report):

(a) consulted with the Petitioners and the Secured Creditors on a path forward to a consensual CCAA filing;

(b) provided an analysis as to the causes of the Petitioners' insolvency;

(c) reviewed the Petitioners' assets and liabilities;

(d) developed the Proposed SISP;

(e) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners;

(f) assisted the Petitioners to identify a lender to provide DIP financing to the Petitioners; and

(g) prepared the Proposed Monitor's Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

7. As described in the Monitor's First Report to this Court dated June 5, 2020 (the "**First Report**") in the period from May 28, 2020 to June 5, 2020, the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the First Report):

(a) completed the notice and service requirements pursuant to the Initial Order and section 23 of the CCAA;

(b) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners;

(c) reviewed terms submitted by prospective DIP lenders and provided an update and recommendation to the Court with respect to the Petitioners' efforts to obtain DIP financing;

(d) administered Phase 1 of the SISP;

(e) responded in a timely manner to inquiries from various parties including suppliers, creditors, the LPs, legal advisors, and other third parties; and

(f) prepared the First Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

8. As described in the Monitor's Second Report to this Court dated August 27, 2020 (the "**Second Report**") in the period from June 5, 2020 to August 27, 2020, the Monitor undertook, among others, the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Second Report):

(a) worked with the Petitioners to freeze all vendor payable balances effective the date of the Initial Order and set-up new accounts with suppliers for the post- filing activity;

(b) met with management as well as employees the Petitioners and the Port Capital Group to: a) explain the Monitor's role in a CCAA proceeding; b) to advise them of their rights and obligations during the CCAA proceedings; and c) explain the Stay Period;

(c) met with and had frequent communication with representatives of the investors in the Terrace House Project (the "**LPs**") to discuss various matters concerning the Terrace Project and the within proceedings;

(d) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners, prepared reporting packages and corresponded with the DIP Lender with respect to the DIP Facility;

(e) obtained an extension of property and third-party liability insurance for the Terrace House Project;

(f) administered the Phase I of the SISP;

(g) responded in a timely manner to inquiries from various parties including suppliers, creditors, the LPs, legal advisors, and other third parties; and

(h) prepared the Second Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

9. As described in the Monitor's Third Report to this Court dated November 27, 2020 (the "**Third Report**") in the period from August 27, 2020 to November 27, 2022 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Third Report):

(a) managed the site preservation of the Terrace House Project, including payment to vendors and suppliers with respect to post-filing activity;

(b) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners, prepared reporting packages and corresponded with the DIP Lender with respect to the DIP Facility;

(c) obtained an extension of property and third-party liability insurance for the Terrace House Project;

(d) administered Phase II of the SISP;

(e) attended and participated in numerous meetings and telephone discussions with the Terrace House Project's Secured Creditors;

(f) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties; and

(g) prepared the Third Report and a Confidential Supplement to the Third Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

10. As described in the Monitor's Fourth Report to this Court dated February 24, 2021 (the "**Fourth Report**") in the period from November 27, 2020 to February 24, 2021 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Fourth Report):

(a) managed the site preservation of the Terrace House Project, including payment to vendors and suppliers with respect to post-filing activity;

(b) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners, prepared reporting packages and corresponded with the DIP Lender with respect to the DIP Facility;

(c) obtained an extension of property insurance for the Terrace House Project;

(d) assisted with due diligence procedures of the Selected Offeror pursuant to the Bid Offer Agreement;

(e) reviewed and considered the Confidential Feasibility Study;

(f) participated in meetings and telephone discussions with the Terrace House Project's Secured Creditors;

(g) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties; and

(h) prepared the Fourth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

11. As described in the Monitor's Fifth Report to this Court dated April 13, 2021 (the "**Fifth Report**") in the period from February 24, 2021 to April 13, 2021 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Fifth Report):

(a) managed the site preservation of the Terrace House Project, including payment to vendors and suppliers with respect to post-filing activity;

(b) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners, prepared reporting packages and corresponded with the DIP Lender with respect to the DIP Facility;

(c) reviewed the Revised Landa Offer with CMLS and executed the Landa PSA with Landa;

(d) conducted the Final Marketing Phase of the SISP;

(e) responded in a timely manner to inquiries from various parties including suppliers, creditors, the LPs, legal advisors, and other third parties; and

(f) prepared the Fifth Report and a Confidential Supplement to the Fifth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

12. As described in the Monitor's Sixth Report to this Court dated May 20, 2021 (the "**Sixth Report**") in the period from April 13, 2021 to May 20, 2021 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Sixth Report):

(a) managed the site preservation of the Terrace House Project, including payment to vendors and suppliers with respect to post-filing activity;

(b) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners, prepared reporting packages and corresponded with the DIP Lender with respect to the DIP Facility;

(c) obtained Court approval of the Landa SPA;

(d) administered the Final Marketing Phase of the SISP, seeking additional bids for the Terrance House Project;

(e) reviewed each of the Final Process Offers with the Secured Creditors and selected the Solterra Offer as a Superior Offer to the Landa SPA

(f) executed the Solterra PSA;

(g) responded in a timely manner to inquiries from various parties including suppliers, creditors, the LPs, legal advisors, and other third parties; and

(h) prepared the Sixth Report and a Confidential Supplement to the Sixth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

13. As described in the Monitor's Confidential Seventh Report to this Court dated June 8, 2021 (the "**Confidential Seventh Report**") in the period from May 20, 2021 to June 8, 2021 the Monitor undertook the activities described in that confidential report with respect to the revised offers received for the Terrace House Project ahead of the June 8, 2021 hearing to approve the Solterra PSA.

14. As described in the Monitor's Eighth Report to this Court dated December 14, 2021 (the "**Eight Report**") in the period from May 20, 2021 to December 14, 2021 the Monitor undertook, among others, the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Eight Report):

(a) managed the site preservation of the Terrace House Project, including payment to vendors and suppliers with respect to post-filing activity;

(b) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners and prepared reporting packages and corresponded with the DIP Lender with respect to the DIP Facility;

(c) assisted the Petitioners in obtaining a six month extension of property insurance for the Terrace House Project to March 31, 2022

(d) obtained an extension of the Building Permit issued by the City of Vancouver to January 12, 2022;

(e) upon the removal of the Monitor's Enhanced Powers as ordered by the BCCA, transitioned the day-to-day operations of the Terrace House Project back to the Petitioners and transferred the remaining DIP Loan funds held in trust by the Monitor to the Petitioners, less a reserve held by the Monitor for outstanding professional fees;

(f) held discussions with the Petitioners, 129, and legal counsel to 129 on a periodic basis to discuss, inter alia, the following:

  (i) the Revised 129 Offer, including the repayment of the Secured Loan and the closing and funding of the Revised 129 Offer, as more thoroughly described herein; and

  (ii) the ongoing responsibilities of the Petitioners within these CCAA Proceedings, including compliance with the ARIO;

(g) participated in the completion of the Revised 129 Offer;

(h) participated in meetings and telephone discussions with the Petitioners' Secured Creditors;

(i) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties;

(j) communicated with Aviva with respect to the Second Domain Loan; and

(k) prepared the Eighth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

15. As described in the Monitor's Ninth Report to this Court dated February 11, 2022 (the "**Ninth Report**") in the period from December 14, 2021 to February 11, 2022 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Ninth Report):

(a) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners;

(b) participated in meetings and telephone discussions with the Petitioners' Secured Creditors;

(c) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties;

(d) reviewed and considered the terms of the Second Domain Loan; and

(e) prepared the Ninth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

16. As described in the Monitor's Tenth Report to this Court dated April 28, 2022 (the "**Tenth Report**") in the period from February 11, 2022 to April 28, 2022 the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Tenth Report):

(a) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners;

(b) participated in meetings and telephone discussions with the Petitioners' Secured Creditors;

(c) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties;

(d) reviewed and consider the terms of the Proposed Mandate Loan;

(e) in consultation with the Petitioners, developed a preliminary restructuring timetable and timetable to exit the CCAA proceedings; and

(f) prepared the Tenth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

17. As described in the Monitor's Eleventh Report to this Court dated May 12, 2022 (the "**Eleventh Report**") in the period from April 28, 2022 to May 12, 2022, the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Eleventh Report):

(a) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners;

(b) participated in meetings and telephone discussions with the Petitioners' Secured Creditors;

(c) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties;

(d) reviewed and considered the terms of the Revised Mandate Commitment Letter;

(e) monitored the Petitioners' post-filing obligations and correspondence with their suppliers; and

(f) prepared the Eleventh Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

18. As described in the Monitor's Twelfth Report to this Court dated June 16, 2022 (the "**Twelfth Report**") in the period from May 12, 2022 to June 16, 2022, the Monitor undertook the following activities, among others (with capitalized terms having the meaning ascribed to them in the Twelfth Report):

(a) managed the cash flows of the Petitioners;

(b) participated in meetings and telephone discussions with the Petitioners' Secured Creditors;

(c) responded to numerous inquiries from various financial stakeholders of the Terrace House Project as well as other third parties;

(d) consulted with the relevant parties with respect to the Proposed Mandate Loan and reported to the Court regarding a material adverse change with respect to the closing of the Proposed Mandate Loan;

(e) corresponded with the Petitioners' Post-Filing Suppliers; and

(f) prepared the Twelfth Report, including the analysis and recommendations therein.

19. The activities undertaken by the Monitor in the period from June 16, 2022 to July 11, 2022 shall be described in the Monitor's Thirteenth Report to this Court (to be filed).

20. Blakes, in its capacity as independent legal counsel to the Monitor, advised the Monitor on all legal aspects of the activities described in the Monitor's Reports and, among other things, attended and made submissions to this Court at approximately 13 separate hearings, and to the Court of Appeal at 3 separate hearings.

Fees of the Monitor and Monitor's Counsel

21. In completing the activities described in the Monitor's Reports for the period from April 27, 2020 to June 30, 2022, the Monitor incurred approximately 2083 of work representing approximately $962,423 in professional fees. After accounting for taxes and disbursements, the total costs of the Monitor for the relevant period are $1,018,859.10.

22. In advising the Monitor in connection with the activities described in the Monitor's Reports for the period from May 14, 2022 to June 30, 2022, Blakes incurred approximately 550 hours of work representing $360,915 in professional fees. After accounting for taxes and disbursements, the total costs of Blakes for the relevant period are $404,639.57.

23. The work performed by the Monitor and its legal counsel was done pursuant to, and in accordance with, the terms of the Initial Order, the ARIO, the FARIO and the other orders of this Court and the Court of Appeal made in these proceedings.

Part 3: LEGAL BASIS

1. Paragraph 35 of the ARIO provides that:

> ...the Monitor and its legal counsel shall pass their accounts from time to time, and for this propose the accounts of ... the Monitor and its legal counsel are hereby referred to a judge of the Supreme Court of British Columbia who may determine the manner in which such accounts are to be passed, including by hearing the matter on a summary basis or referring the matter to a Registrar of this court.

2. On an application to approve the fees of a monitor and its counsel in a CCAA proceeding, the analysis is:

> [...] no different than that in a receivership or bankruptcy. The question is whether the fees are fair and reasonable in all of the circumstances. The concerns are ensuring that the monitor is fairly compensated while safeguarding the efficiency and integrity of the CCAA process. As with any inquiry, the evidence proffered will be important in making those determinations.

Re Nortel Networks Corporation et al, 2017 ONSC 673, para. 13, citing *Winalta Inc. (Re)*, 2011 ABQB 399, at para 30.

3. This Court has referenced with approval the following relevant considerations when considering whether the fees of a court-appointed officers in insolvency proceedings are fair and reasonable in the circumstances:

(a) the nature, extent, and value of the assets;

(b) complications and difficulties encountered by the court officer;

(c) degree of assistance provided by petitioner;

(d) time spent by the court officer;

(e) the court officer's knowledge, experience, and skill;

(f) the court officer's diligence and thoroughness;

(g) responsibilities assumed;

(h) results of the court officer's efforts; and

(i) cost of comparable services when performed in a prudent and economical manner.

Redcorp Ventures Ltd. (Re), 2016 BCSC 188, at para 23, citing *Bank of Montreal v. Nican Trading Co.* (1990), 1990 CanLII 454 (BC CA).
Re Nortel Networks Corporation et al, 2017 ONSC 673, para. 14.

4. Similar factors are considered on the assessment of the legal accounts of counsel to the court officer, including:

(a) the time expended;

(b) the complexity of the proceeding;

(c) the degree of responsibility assumed by the lawyers;

(d) the amount of money involved, including the amount of proceeds after payments to the creditors;

(e) the degree and skill of the lawyers involved;

(f) the results achieved; and

(g) the client's expectations as to the fee.

Redcorp Ventures Ltd. (Re), 2016 BCSC 188, at para 33.

5. In applying the above factors, it is not necessary for the Court to go through the supporting documentation for the fees "line by line" to determine what the appropriate fees are. The value provided should pre-dominate the consideration of what a fair and reasonable amount is appropriate.

Re Nortel Networks Corporation et al, 2017 ONSC 673, para. 21.

6. To provide the court with a proper evidentiary basis for assessing the fees subject to the approval application, the accounts subject to the approval application should:

(a) be verified by affidavit;

(b) contain sufficient evidence to permit the court to conclude that the fees incurred for services rendered were at the standard rate of charges of the monitor and of the monitor's counsel; and

(c) provide a sufficient description of the services rendered to permit the court to determine whether the liability for fees was "properly incurred".

Redcorp Ventures Ltd. (Re), supra, at paras 26 and 32

7. The Monitor submits that its fees are fair and reasonable in the circumstances of a CCAA proceeding involving, among other things, secured claims in excess of $40 million, the assumption of the Monitor of expanded powers, a lengthy and complex sales process, a significant number of contested court hearings including three contested hearings before the Court of Appeal, and various other complex legal and factual issues requiring consideration by the Monitor.

8. In the circumstances, the Monitor submits:

(a) that its professional fees and disbursements were properly incurred;

(b) the services were performed by the Monitor in a prudent and economical manner and that the resulting fees charged by the Monitor are fair and reasonable in all of the circumstances

(c) the work completed by the Monitor was delegated to the appropriate professionals within EY with the appropriate seniority and appropriate hourly rates; and

(d) the Monitor's fees in this matter are consistent with fees charged by other insolvency firms of a similar size for work of a similar nature and complexity.

9. Similarly, the Monitor submits:

(a) Blakes' professional fees and disbursements were properly incurred;

(b) the services were performed by Blakes in a prudent and economical manner and the resulting fees charged by Blakes are fair and reasonable in all of the circumstances

(c) the work completed by Blakes was delegated to the appropriate professionals within Blakes with the appropriate seniority and hourly rates;

(d) Blakes' fees in this matter are consistent with the market for similar firms with the capacity to handle a file of comparable size and complexity; and

(e) Blakes' invoices were provided to the Monitor when rendered, and all have been approved by the Monitor.

10. The Monitor further relies on the CCAA, the *Supreme Court Civil Rules*, and the inherent jurisdiction of this Honourable Court.

Approval of Activities

11. Approval of the Monitor's activities is appropriate in these circumstances because such approval will, among other things:

(a) bring the Monitor's activities in issue before this Court, providing an opportunity for the concerns of the Court, the Petitioner, and/or other stakeholders to be addressed;

(b) provide certainty and finality to processes in these CCAA proceedings and activities undertaken, all parties having been given an opportunity to raise specific objections and concerns;

(c) enable the Court, tasked with supervising the CCAA process, to satisfy itself that the Monitor's court-mandated activities have been conducted in a prudent and diligent manner;

(d) provide protection for the Monitor, not otherwise provided by the CCAA; and

(e) protect from potential delay and increased costs that would be caused by:

(i) re-litigation of steps taken to date; and

(ii) potential indemnity claims by the Monitor.

Target Canada Co. (Re), 2015 ONSC 7574, at paras 12 and 23

12. The approval sought by the Monitor is not a general approval of its activities but is the approval of the specific activities taken by the Monitor as detailed in the Monitor's Reports filed with this Court.

Part 4: MATERIAL TO BE RELIED ON

1. The Proposed Monitor's Report dated May 28, 2020;

2. The Monitor's First Report to Court, dated June 5, 2020;

3. The Monitor's Second Report to Court, dated August 27, 2020;

4. The Monitor's Third Report to Court, dated November 27, 2020 (and the Confidential Supplement thereto);

5. The Monitor's Fourth Report to Court, dated February 23, 2021;

6. The Monitor's Fifth Report to Court, dated April 13, 2021;

7. The Monitor's Sixth Report to Court, dated May 20, 2021;

8. The Monitor's Confidential Seventh Report to Court, dated June 8, 2021;

9. The Monitor's Eighth Report to Court, dated December 14, 2022;

10. The Monitor's Ninth Report to Court dated February 11, 2022;

11. The Monitor's Tenth Report to Court dated April 28, 2022;

12. The Monitor's Eleventh Report to Court dated May 12, 2022;

13. The Monitor's Twelfth Report to Court dated June 16, 2022;

14. The Monitor's Thirteenth Report to Court, to be filed;

15. Affidavit #1 of Michael Bell, made July 11 2022;

16. Affidavit #1 of Peter Rubin, made July 11, 2022; and

17. Such further material as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

The applicant estimates that the application will take 10 minutes.

This matter is not within the jurisdiction of a master.

TO THE PERSONS RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF APPLICATION: If you wish to respond to this notice of application, you must, within 5 business days after service of this notice of application or, if this application is brought under Rule 9-7, within 8 business days after service of this notice of application,

(a) file an application response in Form 33,

(b) file the original of every affidavit, and of every other document, that

   (i) you intend to refer to at the hearing of this application, and

   (ii) has not already been filed in the proceeding, and

(c) serve on the applicant 2 copies of the following, and on every other party of record one copy of the following:

   (i) a copy of the filed application response;

   (ii) a copy of each of the filed affidavits and other documents that you intend to refer to at the hearing of this application and that has not already been served on that person;

   (iii) if this application is brought under Rule 9-7, any notice that you are required to give under Rule 9-7(9).

Date: July 11, 2022

Signature of lawyer for applicant
Peter Bychawski

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 2600, Three Bentall Centre
595 Burrard Street PO Box 49314
Vancouver, BC V7X 1L3
Email: peter.bychawski@blakes.com
Telephone: 604.631.4218

To be completed by the court only:

Order made
[ ] in the terms requested in paragraphs .... of Part 1 of this notice of application

[ ] with the following variations and additional terms:
____
____
____

Date:____________________ ______________________________
Signature of [ ] Judge [ ] Master

APPENDIX

[*The following information is provided for data collection purposes only and is of no legal effect.*]

THIS APPLICATION INVOLVES THE FOLLOWING:
[*Check the box(es) below for the application type(s) included in this application.*]

[ ] discovery: comply with demand for documents

[ ] discovery: production of additional documents

[ ] extend oral discovery

[ ] other matter concerning oral discovery

[ ] amend pleadings

[ ] add/change parties

[ ] summary judgment

[ ] summary trial

[ ] service

[ ] mediation

[ ] adjournments

[ ] proceedings at trial

[ ] case plan orders: amend

[ ] case plan orders: other

[ ] experts