

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE- COMMERCIAL LIST**

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	THURSDAY, THE 16TH
)	
JUSTICE MORAWETZ)	DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2010

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
NORTEL NETWORKS CORPORATION, NORTEL NETWORKS LIMITED,
NORTEL NETWORKS GLOBAL CORPORATION, NORTEL NETWORKS
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND NORTEL NETWORKS
TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION (the "Applicants")

APPLICATION UNDER THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

ORDER APPROVING CROSS-BORDER CLAIMS PROTOCOL

THIS MOTION, made by the Applicants for an Order substantially in the form included in the Applicants' Motion Record, was heard this day at 393 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Applicants' Notice of Motion, the affidavit of John Doolittle sworn on September 10, 2010, the Fifty-Third Report of the Monitor dated September 13, 2010 (the "Monitor's Report"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicants, the Monitor, and those other parties present, no one appearing for the other parties served with the Applicants' Motion Record, although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of Marna McGeorge sworn September 13, 2010, filed:

SERVICE

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record filed by the Applicants in support of this Motion be and it is hereby abridged and validated such that the Motion is properly returnable today.

APPROVAL OF CROSS-BORDER CLAIMS PROTOCOL

2. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Cross-Border Claims Protocol attached hereto as Schedule "A" is hereby approved, and that the Cross-Border Claims Protocol shall become effective upon approval by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "U.S. Court") acting in the reorganization cases commenced by the U.S. Debtors¹ under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.*
3. THIS COURT ORDERS that references in this Order to the Cross-Border Claims Protocol shall mean the Cross-Border Claims Protocol attached as Schedule "A", as such Cross-Border Claims Protocol may be amended from time to time in accordance with its terms. Other capitalized terms used in paragraphs 4 through 6 of this Order shall have the meanings given to them in the Cross-Border Claims Protocol.

¹ As defined in the Cross-Border Claims Protocol, being Nortel Networks Inc.; Nortel Networks Capital Corporation; Nortel Altsystems Inc.; Nortel Altsystems International Inc.; Xros Inc.; Sonoma Systems; Qtera Corporation; CoreTek, Inc.; Nortel Networks Applications Management Solutions Inc.; Nortel Networks Optical Components Inc.; Nortel Networks HPOCS Inc.; Architel Systems (U.S.) Corporation; Nortel Networks International Inc.; Northern Telecom International Inc.; Nortel Networks Cable Solutions Inc.; and Nortel Networks (CALA) Inc.

CROSS-BORDER CLAIMS PROTOCOL TO GOVERN CERTAIN CLAIMS

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Cross-Border Claims Protocol shall govern the coordination and resolution of Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims filed in the Canadian Proceedings and the Chapter 11 Cases, in accordance with the terms of the Cross-Border Claims Protocol. Any and all claims resolution procedures which have been or may be approved by this Court, including those contained in the Claims Resolution Order that this Court may approve today, as they relate to Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims, shall be subject to the provisions and requirements of the Cross-Border Claims Protocol.


AGGREGATE DISTRIBUTIONS NOT TO EXCEED 100%

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that no claimant holding an Overlapping Claim or Same-Creditor Claim shall receive aggregate distributions from the Debtors on account of such claim(s) in excess of 100% of the amount of such allowed claim(s) (including any post-filing interest or other amounts permitted under applicable law).

GENERAL

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that resolution of any Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims in the Canadian Proceedings shall be without prejudice to the rights and defenses of the U.S. Debtors with respect to such Overlapping Claims and Same-creditor Claims in the Chapter 11 Cases.
7. THIS COURT ORDERS AND REQUESTS the aid and recognition of any court or any judicial, regulatory or administrative body in any province or territory of Canada (including the assistance of any court in Canada pursuant to Section 17 of the CCAA)

and any court or any judicial, regulatory or administrative body of the United States of America, the United Kingdom, the French Republic, the State of Israel, and the Republic of Korea, and of any other nation or state, to act in aid of and to be complementary to this Court in carrying out the terms of this Order.



ENTERED AT / INSCRIT A TORONTO
ON / BOOK NO:
LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO.:

SEP 17 2010

PER / PAR:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - BACKGROUND	1
Canadian and U.S. Proceedings	1
Cross-Border Insolvency Protocol	3
Notice of Deadline and of Procedures for Filing Claims	4
PART II – SCOPE OF THIS CLAIMS PROTOCOL	5
PART III – COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION	7
PART IV – APPLICABLE PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING CLAIMS	7
Resolution of Overlapping Claims	7
Resolution of Same-Creditor Claims	10
PART V – THE COURTS AND THIS CLAIMS PROTOCOL	11
Comity and Independence of the Courts	11
Effectiveness; Modification	12
Procedure for Resolving Disputes under the Claims Protocol	12
PART VI - GENERAL	13
Distributions on Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims	13
Rights to Appear and Be Heard	13
Preservation of Rights	14
Discharge, Removal or Dissolution of the Creditors' Committee or the Bondholders' Committee	16

CROSS-BORDER PROTOCOL ON THE RESOLUTION OF CLAIMS

This cross-border claims protocol (the "**Claims Protocol**") is intended to supplement the procedures established by each of the U.S. Court and the Canadian Court with respect to the resolution of claims filed against the U.S. Debtors and the Canadian Debtors in the Insolvency Proceedings (each as defined herein).

PART I - BACKGROUND

Canadian and U.S. Proceedings

1. On January 14, 2009, Nortel Networks Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the "**Canadian Debtors**")¹ commenced reorganization proceedings (the "**Canadian Proceedings**") by filing an application under Canada's *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (the "**CCAA**") with the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Commercial List (the "**Canadian Court**") and an Order (as amended, the "**CCAA Order**") has been granted under which (a) the Canadian Debtors have been determined to be entitled to relief under the CCAA, and (b) Ernst & Young Inc. was appointed as monitor (the "**Monitor**") in the Canadian Proceedings.
2. On January 14, 2009, Nortel Networks Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the "**U.S. Debtors**")² commenced reorganization cases (collectively, the

¹ The Canadian Debtors are: Nortel Networks Corporation; Nortel Networks Limited; Nortel Networks Technology Corporation; Nortel Networks Global Corporation; and Nortel Networks International Corporation.

² The U.S. Debtors are: Nortel Networks Inc.; Nortel Networks Capital Corporation; Nortel Altsystems Inc.; Nortel Altsystems International Inc.; Xros Inc.; Sonoma Systems; Qtera Corporation; CoreTek, Inc.; Nortel Networks Applications Management Solutions Inc.; Nortel Networks Optical Components Inc.; Nortel Networks HPOCS Inc.; Architel Systems (U.S.) Corporation; Nortel Networks International Inc.; Northern Telecom

"**Chapter 11 Cases**") under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.* (the "**Bankruptcy Code**"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "**U.S. Court**"), and such cases have been consolidated (for procedural purposes only) under Case No. 09-10138 (KG). The U.S. Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to Sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases. An official committee of unsecured creditors (the "**Creditors' Committee**") was appointed by the United States Trustee (the "**U.S. Trustee**") in these Chapter 11 Cases on January 22, 2009. An ad hoc group of bondholders whose members have executed or in the future will execute confidentiality agreements with the Debtors (the "**Bondholder Group**") has also been organized.

3. By Order dated January 15, 2009, the U.S. Court approved the U.S. Debtors' retention of Epiq Bankruptcy Solutions, LLC as the claims and noticing agent in the Chapter 11 Cases.
4. The Monitor filed petitions and obtained an Order in the U.S. Court granting recognition of the Canadian Proceedings under chapter 15 of the Bankruptcy Code. Nortel Networks Inc. also filed an application and obtained an Order in the Canadian Court pursuant to section 18.6 of the CCAA recognizing the Chapter 11 Cases as "foreign proceedings" in Canada and giving effect to the automatic stay thereunder in Canada. None of the U.S.

International Inc.; Nortel Networks Cable Solutions Inc.; and Nortel Networks (CALA) Inc. Nortel Networks (CALA) Inc. commenced its Chapter 11 Case on July 14, 2009.

Debtors or Canadian Debtors are applicants in both the Chapter 11 Cases and Canadian Proceedings.

5. For convenience, (i) the U.S. Debtors and the Canadian Debtors shall be referred to herein collectively as the "**Debtors**", (ii) the Chapter 11 Cases and the Canadian Proceedings shall be referred to herein collectively as the "**Insolvency Proceedings**", (iii) the U.S. Court and the Canadian Court shall be referred to herein collectively as the "**Courts**", and (iv) an order of the U.S. Court or the Canadian Court is referred to herein as an "**Order**".

Cross-Border Insolvency Protocol

6. An amended Cross-Border Insolvency Protocol (the "**Insolvency Protocol**") was approved by the U.S. Court and Canadian Court pursuant to separate Orders entered by the Courts on June 29, 2009 and June 30, 2009, respectively. Nothing herein is intended to waive any party's rights under the Insolvency Protocol. To the extent of any direct and irreconcilable conflict between the Insolvency Protocol and this Claims Protocol with respect to any matter concerning claims administration and claims adjudication procedures, the term(s) of this Claims Protocol shall govern.
7. The purpose of this Claims Protocol is to supplement the procedures established by the Courts in the Bar Date Orders (as defined herein) and in any subsequent Orders related to claims (including the claims resolution order sought by the Canadian Debtors from the Canadian Court concurrently with such Court's approval of this Claims Protocol, the "**Claims Resolution Order**"), including Excluded Claims (as defined herein), to

establish an efficient and consistent procedure to address the filing and resolution of claims in the Insolvency Proceedings.

Notice of Deadline and of Procedures for Filing Claims

8. By Orders dated July 30, 2009 (as has been or may be supplemented, the "**Canadian Bar Order**") and August 4, 2009 (as has been or may be supplemented, the "**U.S. Bar Order**" and together with the Canadian Bar Order, the "**Bar Date Orders**"), the Canadian Court and U.S. Court each set a deadline of September 30, 2009 at 4:00 p.m. prevailing Eastern Time (as has been or may be supplemented, the "**Bar Date**")³ for the filing and receipt of claims in the Insolvency Proceedings, other than (i) certain claims that were subject to a later bar date and (ii) certain claims exempted from the provisions of the Bar Date Orders ("**Excluded Claims**").
9. As the Debtors' collective corporate structure includes 21 separate Debtor entities, the Debtors propose to implement this Claims Protocol to facilitate the resolution of claims that have been or may be filed in the Canadian Proceedings and the Chapter 11 Cases. Nothing in this Claims Protocol is intended to waive or modify the Bar Date or the protections afforded to the Debtors under the Bar Date Orders. To the extent of any direct and irreconcilable conflict between the Bar Date Orders and this Claims Protocol with respect to any matter concerning Overlapping Claims or Same-Creditor Claims, the term(s) of this Claims Protocol shall govern.

³ In the case of Nortel Networks (CALA) Inc., by an Order dated December 2, 2009, the U.S. Court set a deadline of January 25, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. prevailing Eastern Time for the filing and receipt of claims against Nortel Networks (CALA) Inc. in the Chapter 11 Cases.

PART II – SCOPE OF THIS CLAIMS PROTOCOL

10. This Claims Protocol establishes procedures that shall govern the coordination and resolution of Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims (each as defined below) filed in the Canadian Proceedings and the Chapter 11 Cases.
11. For the purposes of this Claims Protocol, an “**Overlapping Claim**” is a claim or portion thereof that (i) has been filed in both the Canadian Proceedings and the Chapter 11 Cases; (ii) by the same party or by the same affiliated parties; and (iii) arises from the same underlying claim, action, liability, property, agreement, lease, debt or transaction. For the avoidance of doubt, the definition of Overlapping Claims shall include, but not be limited to, any (i) guarantee and indemnity claims where the direct claim is filed against a debtor in one jurisdiction and the guarantee or indemnity claim is filed against a debtor in the other jurisdiction; and (ii) duplicate claims filed in both jurisdictions (claims filed in both jurisdictions by the same or affiliated party asserting the same amount and underlying liability).
12. For the purposes of this Claims Protocol, “**Same-Creditor Claims**” are claims or portions thereof that: (i) have been filed in both the Canadian Proceedings and the Chapter 11 Cases; (ii) by the same party or by the same affiliated parties; and (iii) are not an Overlapping Claim (each such claim, a “**Same-Creditor Claim**”).
13. For the purposes of this Claims Protocol, the following categories of claims shall not constitute Overlapping Claims or Same-Creditor Claims regardless of whether they would otherwise fall within the definitions of Overlapping Claims or Same-Creditor Claims:

- (a) U.K. pension claims (including, funding guarantee claims, insolvency guarantee claims, Financial Support Direction liability claims and claims by Nortel Networks U.K. Pension Trust Limited, the U.K. Pension Protection Fund, the Pensions Regulator under *The Pensions Act 2004* (U.K.) and the joint administrators of the various EMEA Debtors related to the foregoing);
- (b) claims in respect of the determination of liabilities and funded status of the Nortel Networks Negotiated Pension Plan and the Nortel Networks Limited Managerial and Non-Negotiated Pension Plan and in respect of the Ontario Pension Benefits Guarantee Fund; and
- (c) the following employee-related matters:
 - (i) any procedure, protocol or methodology for calculating termination and severance pay claims and any process for any resolution of disagreements regarding contract obligations and qualifications for eligibility of such claims (including the calculation of benefits or liabilities),
 - (ii) the actuarial methods and assumptions that will be used to determine non-registered pension claims, Long Term Disability claims and benefit claims and any process for any resolution of disagreements regarding the interpretation or application of plan criteria for such claims (including the calculation of benefits or liabilities),
 - (iii) the form of an order related to Compensation Claims (including, proposed procedures relating to any bar date for claims of employees and retirees),
 - (iv) deferred compensation claims relating to the Nortel Networks U.S. Deferred Compensation Plan (to the extent not otherwise addressed by the Cross-Border Claims Protocol), and
 - (v) the Calgary employee claims contemplated by the action bearing court file number 0901-10504 in the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench.

14. For purposes of this Claims Protocol, a “**Bond Claim**” is any claim arising from or related to the Bondholder Trust Indenture (as defined in the Canadian Bar Order), including claims filed by the indenture trustees.

PART III – COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION

15. The Canadian Debtors, the Monitor, and the U.S. Debtors shall share information with respect to any Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims, including without limitation by meeting on a monthly basis to review these claims. The Canadian Debtors, the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors shall cooperate and coordinate the collection of information regarding Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims from the Canadian Debtors, the U.S. Debtors and the claimants.
16. Information exchanged between the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors pursuant to this Claims Protocol may be shared by such parties with their respective stakeholders, subject to appropriate written confidentiality agreements, and the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors may consult with their respective stakeholders with respect to all such information. In the case of the U.S. Debtors, for the avoidance of doubt, such stakeholders include the Creditors’ Committee and the Bondholder Group.

PART IV – APPLICABLE PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING CLAIMS

Resolution of Overlapping Claims

17. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the resolution of Overlapping Claims (other than the Bond Claims, to which Paragraph 17(e) shall apply):

- (a) For Overlapping Claims that either (i) assert an amount greater than \$500,000 in both jurisdictions or (ii) are wholly or partially unliquidated and the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors each propose to seek to allow, stipulate or settle for an amount greater than \$500,000 in their respective jurisdictions: the Canadian Debtors, the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors shall not respond to or resolve an Overlapping Claim without first complying with the consultation procedures set forth in Paragraph 15 (and in the case of the U.S. Debtors, Paragraph 16) above.
- (b) For Overlapping Claims that either (i) assert an amount less than \$1 million in both jurisdictions or (ii) are wholly or partially unliquidated and the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors each propose to seek to allow, stipulate or settle for an amount less than \$1 million in their respective jurisdictions: following satisfaction of Paragraph 17(a) above, the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors shall be entitled to respond to the Overlapping Claim and seek resolution of such claim in compliance with their respective claims resolution orders and procedures (including, in the case of the Canadian Debtors and the Monitor, the Claims Resolution Order).
- (c) For Overlapping Claims that either (i) assert an amount equal to or greater than \$1 million in either jurisdiction or (ii) are wholly or partially unliquidated and the Monitor or the U.S. Debtors propose to seek to allow, stipulate or settle for an amount equal to or greater than \$1 million in their respective jurisdictions (the **“Material Overlapping Claims”**): if the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors (in the case of the U.S. Debtors, after consultation with the Creditors’ Committee and the Bondholder Group) agree on the appropriate

resolution (in each jurisdiction) with respect to any Material Overlapping Claim, then such Material Overlapping Claim can be dealt with in accordance with the agreed-upon approach (which may include utilizing the claims resolution procedures applicable in each jurisdiction).

- (d) If the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors in accordance with the terms hereof cannot agree on the appropriate resolution of Material Overlapping Claims in each jurisdiction, then the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors shall seek joint direction from the Judges of both the Canadian Court and the U.S. Court regarding the resolution of the claims, absent other agreement by the Canadian Debtors, the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors. Written notice of the request for court resolution of such Material Overlapping Claims along with the materials in support of such relief shall be served no less than 10 calendar days prior to the proposed hearing.⁴
- (e) If the Monitor and the Canadian Debtors, on the one hand, or the U.S. Debtors, on the other hand, proposes to allow, stipulate or settle the Bond Claims (the “**Settlement**”) and, after consulting with the other party with respect to such Settlement as provided in Paragraph 15 (and in the case of the U.S. Debtors, Paragraph 16), the party not proposing such Settlement does not agree with such Settlement, such party may request that the hearing to allow the Bond Claims in the Settlement be a joint hearing.

Resolution of Same-Creditor Claims

18. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the resolution of Same-Creditor Claims (except with respect to the Bond Claims):

- (a) For Same-Creditor Claims that either (i) assert an amount greater than \$500,000 in both jurisdictions or (ii) are wholly or partially unliquidated and the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors each propose to seek to allow, stipulate or settle for an amount greater than \$500,000 in their respective jurisdictions: the Canadian Debtors, the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors shall not respond to or resolve a Same-Creditor Claim without first complying with the consultation procedures set forth in Paragraph 15 (and in the case of the U.S. Debtors, Paragraph 16) above.
- (b) For Same-Creditor Claims that either (i) assert an amount less than \$1 million in both jurisdictions or (ii) are wholly or partially unliquidated and the Monitor and the U.S. Debtors each propose to seek to allow, stipulate or settle for an amount less than \$1 million in their respective jurisdictions: following satisfaction of Paragraph 18(a) above, the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors shall be entitled to respond to the Same-Creditor Claim and seek resolution of such claim in compliance with their respective claims resolution orders and procedures (including, in the case of the Canadian Debtors and the Monitor, the Claims Resolution Order).

⁴ In Canada, (i) such materials shall include the Monitor's Report, if any, being filed with respect to the motion, and (ii) service shall be made on the Service List as posted on the Monitor's website (the "Service List") and on the party that filed the affected claim (and their counsel, if known).

- (c) For Same-Creditor Claims that either (i) assert an amount equal to or greater than \$1 million in either jurisdiction or (ii) are wholly or partially unliquidated and the Monitor or the U.S. Debtors propose to seek to allow, stipulate or settle for an amount equal to or greater than \$1 million in their respective jurisdictions (the “**Material Same-Creditor Claims**”): if the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors (in the case of the U.S. Debtors, after consultation with the Creditors’ Committee and the Bondholder Group) agree on the appropriate resolution (in each jurisdiction) with respect to any Material Same-Creditor Claim, then such claim can be dealt with in accordance with the agreed-upon approach (which may include utilizing the claims resolution procedures applicable in each jurisdiction).
- (d) If the Monitor, the Canadian Debtors and the U.S. Debtors (in the case of the U.S. Debtors, after consultation with the Creditors’ Committee and the Bondholder Group) cannot agree on the appropriate resolution of Material Same-Creditor Claims in each jurisdiction, then such claims can be resolved in each jurisdiction in accordance with applicable claims resolution orders and procedures (including, in the case of the Canadian Debtors and the Monitor, the Claims Resolution Order).

PART V – THE COURTS AND THIS CLAIMS PROTOCOL

Comity and Independence of the Courts

19. The approval and implementation of this Claims Protocol shall not divest or diminish the U.S. Court’s and the Canadian Court’s respective independent jurisdiction over the

subject matter of the Chapter 11 Cases and the Canadian Proceedings, respectively. By approving and implementing this Claims Protocol, none of the U.S. Court, the Canadian Court, the Debtors nor any creditor or any other party in interest shall be deemed to have approved or engaged in any infringement on the sovereignty of the United States or Canada.

Effectiveness; Modification

20. This Claims Protocol shall become effective only upon its approval by both the U.S. Court and the Canadian Court.
21. This Claims Protocol may not be supplemented, modified, terminated or replaced in any manner except upon approval of such modifications by both the U.S. Court and the Canadian Court after appropriate notice to all parties in interest as required under the rules of the Courts and a hearing in both Courts. Notice of any legal proceeding to supplement, modify, terminate or replace this Claims Protocol shall be given in accordance with paragraph 28 of the Insolvency Protocol, provided that, the party giving notice shall provide no less than 10 calendar days prior written notice of such proceeding and its materials in support of such relief.⁵

Procedure for Resolving Disputes under the Claims Protocol

22. Disputes relating to the terms, intent or application of this Claims Protocol may be addressed by interested parties to the U.S. Court, the Canadian Court or both Courts upon notice in accordance with paragraph 28 of the Insolvency Protocol. In rendering a

⁵ In Canada, (i) such materials shall include the Monitor's Report, if any, being filed with respect to the motion, and (ii) service shall be made on the Service List.

decision or Order in any such dispute, the Court to which the issue is addressed: (a) shall consult with the other Court; and (b) may, in its sole and exclusive discretion, either: (i) render a binding decision or Order after such consultation; (ii) defer to the other Court by transferring the matter, in whole or in part, to such other Court; or (iii) seek a Joint Hearing of both Courts in accordance with paragraph 12 of the Insolvency Protocol. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in making a decision or Order under this paragraph, each Court shall give due consideration to the independence, comity and inherent jurisdiction of the other Court established under existing law.

PART VI - GENERAL

Distributions on Overlapping Claims and Same-Creditor Claims

23. No claimant holding an Overlapping Claim or Same-Creditor Claim shall receive aggregate distributions from the Debtors on account of such claim(s) in excess of 100% of the amount of such allowed claim(s) (including any post-petition interest or other amounts permitted under applicable law).

Rights to Appear and Be Heard

24. The Canadian Debtors, the Monitor, the U.S. Debtors, the Creditors' Committee and the Bondholder Group shall have the right to support or object and to be heard at any hearing provided for herein in either or both of the Canadian and U.S. Courts with respect to Specific Claims (as defined in the Claims Resolution Order), Overlapping Claims or Same-Creditor Claims.

Preservation of Rights

25. The resolution of an Overlapping Claim or a Same-Creditor Claim in one of the Chapter 11 Cases or the Canadian Proceedings shall be without prejudice to the rights and defenses of the Canadian Debtors, the Monitor or the U.S. Debtors with respect the Overlapping Claim or Same-Creditor Claim in the other jurisdiction (subject to any applicable limitations on distributions to which a creditor may be allowed to recover for its claim).
26. Nothing in this Claims Protocol shall prejudice the right, if any, of any Debtor, the Monitor, the Creditors' Committee, the Bondholder Group or any other party in interest to dispute or assert set-offs or other defenses to any claim filed in the Insolvency Proceedings.
27. Nothing in this Claims Protocol shall prejudice the right of any Debtor to seek, in compliance with any other Orders of the Courts and/or agreements between the Debtors, and after consultation with and prior notice to the U.S. Debtors in the case of relief sought by the Monitor or the Canadian Debtors and after consultation with and prior notice to the Canadian Debtors, the Creditors' Committee and Bondholder Group in the case of relief sought by the U.S. Debtors, a further Order or Orders of the applicable Courts (i) fixing a date by which holders of claims or interests not subject to the Bar Date Orders or the Bar Date established therein must file a proof of claim or interest or be barred from doing so, or (ii) establishing further claims procedures, including claims procedures relating to Excluded Claims and claims resolution procedures, *provided, however*, that any motion or application brought by any of the Debtors, the Monitor or any other party in interest, as applicable, with respect to the subject matter of (ii), shall

not be heard on less than ten (10) business days notice to the other Debtors, the Monitor, the Creditors' Committee and the Bondholder Group, unless otherwise ordered by the applicable Court. This Claims Protocol shall apply to all such claims unless a Court orders otherwise in accordance with the terms hereof.

28. Nothing in this Claims Protocol shall prejudice the right of the Monitor to perform its responsibilities and obligations as required under the Canadian Proceedings, pursuant to any applicable Order of the Canadian Court including, without limitation, the Fourth Amended and Restated Initial Order dated January 14, 2009 and the Order of the Canadian Court dated August 14, 2009, or otherwise under applicable law, and the provisions of this Claims Protocol are intended by the parties and the Courts to facilitate the performance of such responsibilities and obligations by the Monitor.
29. Except as specifically provided herein (including all deeming provisions) and except as agreed in accordance with this Claims Protocol, neither the terms of this Claims Protocol nor any actions taken pursuant to this Claims Protocol shall: (i) prejudice or affect the powers, rights, claims and defenses of the Debtors and their respective estates, the Creditors' Committee, the U.S. Trustee, the Monitor, the Bondholder Group, any of the Debtors' creditors or any of the foregoing parties' representatives or professionals under applicable law, including, without limitation, the Bankruptcy Code, the CCAA and Orders of the Courts; (ii) preclude or prejudice the rights of any person to assert or pursue such person's substantive rights against any other person under the applicable laws of Canada or the United States or any other applicable laws; (iii) preclude or prejudice the rights of any person (including the Debtors) to contest the validity, amount or priority of any claim, or (iv) preclude or prejudice an assertion to the Court adjudicating the claim

that the Court does not have jurisdiction to adjudicate the claim, or that the laws of another jurisdiction govern or affect the validity, amount or priority of a claim.

Discharge, Removal or Dissolution of the Creditors' Committee or the Bondholder Group

30. If the Creditors' Committee shall cease to exist following the effective date of a plan of reorganization and the plan does not designate a successor to the Creditors' Committee, the consent of or consultation with the Creditors' Committee shall no longer be required for any purposes under this Claims Protocol and thereafter the Creditors' Committee will no longer be entitled to receive notices under this Claims Protocol or otherwise enforce or have any rights pursuant to this Claims Protocol.
31. If at any time, the Bondholder Group is disbanded, the consent of or consultation with the Bondholder Group shall no longer be required for any purposes under this Claims Protocol and thereafter the Bondholder Group will no longer be entitled to receive notices under this Claims Protocol, or otherwise enforce or have any rights pursuant to this Claims Protocol.

**IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF NORTEL
NETWORKS CORPORATION, NORTEL NETWORKS LIMITED, NORTEL NETWORKS
GLOBAL CORPORATION, NORTEL NETWORKS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION AND
NORTEL NETWORKS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION**

Court File No: 09-CL-7950

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

**ORDER
(APPROVING CROSS-BORDER
CLAIMS PROTOCOL)**

OGILVY RENAULT LLP

Suite 3800

Royal Bank Plaza, South Tower

200 Bay Street

P.O. Box 84

Toronto, Ontario M5J 2Z4

Derrick Tay LSUC#: 21152A

Tel: (416) 216-4832

Email: dtay@ogilvyrenault.com

Jennifer Stam LSUC#: 46735J

Tel: (416) 216-2327

Email: jstam@ogilvyrenault.com

Fax: (416) 216-3930

Lawyers for the Applicants